1 2 3 4 5	Gregory G. Spaulding, Esq. (SB# 106606) Terry S. Sterling, Esq. (SB# 106379) SPAULDING McCULLOUGH & TANSIL LLP 90 South E Street, Suite 200 P.O. Box 1867 Santa Rosa, CA 95402 Telephone: (707) 524-1900 / Facsimile: (707) 524-1 spaulding@smlaw.com; sterling@smlaw.com Attorneys for Defendants	906	
6 7	SONOMA COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, BILL COGBILL and COUNTY OF SONOMA		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	VALERIE GEORGE, as Administrator and Personal Representative of THE ESTATE OF	Case No.: 3:08-cv-02675-EDL	
12 13	RYAN GEORGE; VALERIE GEORGE and/or TAJMAH BEAUCHAMP, as Legal	(PROPERTY ORDER FOLLOWING REVIEW OF INDEPENDENT THIRD	
14	Representative(s) for Jaida George and Ryan George, Jr.; VALERIE GEORGE, Individually; DONALD GEORGE; and TAJMAH	PARTY EXPERT REPORT AND OF RESPONSE OF DEFENDANTS SONOMA	
15	BEAUCHAMP, Individually,	COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, BILL COGBILL AND COUNTY OF	
16	Plaintiffs,	SONOMA	
17	VS.		
18	SONOMA COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT; BILL COGBILL; COUNTY OF SONOMA; CALIFORNIA FORENSIC		
19	MEDICAL GROUP, INC.; JAMES LUDERS, M.D.; MICHAEL E. DAGEY, R.N.; SUTTER		
20	HEALTH; SUTTER MEDICAL CENTER OF SANTA ROSA; EDWARD W. HARD, M.D.;		
21	RICHARD FLINDERS, M.D.; JOSEPH N. MATEL, M.D.; NORICK JANIAN, M.D.;		
22	ANGUS MATHESON, M.D., and DOES 1 through 25, inclusive,		
23	Defendants.		
24 25	In accordance with the settlement reached or	November 10, 2010 among plaintiffs:	
26	In accordance with the settlement reached on November 10, 2010 among plaintiffs;		
27	defendants COUNTY OF SONOMA (including the SONOMA COUNTY SHERIFF'S  DEPARTMENT) and BILL COGBILL (collectively, "the County defendants"); and defendants		
28		* ***	
	1 [PROPOSED] ORDER FOLLOWING REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF INDEPENDENT 3:08-cv-02675-ED THIRD PARTY EXPERT REPORT AND RESPONSE OF DEFENDANTS SONOMA COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, BILL COGBILL AND COUNTY OF SONOMA		

1	CALIFORNIA FORENSIC MEDICAL GROUP, INC., JAMES LUDERS, M.D. and MICHAEL E	
2	DAGEY, R.N., the County defendants have submitted their Request for Review of Independent Thi	
3	Party Expert Report and of Response of Defendants Sonoma County Sheriff's Department, Bill	
4	Cogbill and County of Sonoma. The Court has now completed its review of the expert's report,	
5	entitled "Sonoma County Sheriff's Office Main Adult Detention Facilities (MADF) 2011 Independe	
6	Review" ("Report"), and the County defendants' response to the Report ("County Response"). Good	
7	cause appearing therefore,	
8	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:	
9	1. The County defendants have fulfilled their obligations under the settlement agreement	
10	regarding the independent third party expert review of the medical and correctional practices of the	
11	Sonoma County Main Adult Detention Facility;	
12	2. The County acted reasonably in connection with the preparation of the Report and the	
13	County Response; and	
14	3. The Report and the County Response shall be held as confidential, neither document	
15	shall be made part of the Court record, and the originals and all copies of the Report and the County	
16	Response will be returned to the County's attorneys.	
17	mm -	
18	DATED: 10/26, 2011  Chief Magistrate Judge Maria-Elena James	
19	Chily Tydgistrate Judge Waria-Elena James	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

28